

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patest and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Blyr 1400 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/506,189	02/17/2000		Simon Robert Smith	00138	7235
7.	590	02/22/2006		EXAM	INER
Mark G Kach			WOOD, WILLIAM H		
Head Johnson a 228 West 17th	-	gian	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Tulsa, OK 74	119			2193	
•				DATE MAILED: 02/22/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 November 2005</u> .  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. <b>Disposition of Claims</b> 4) Claim(s) <u>1-3.5 and 8-10</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) <u>1-3.5 and 8-10</u> is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. <b>Application Papers</b> 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		Application No.	Applicant(s)
William H. Wood   2193		09/506,189	SMITH ET AL.
- The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Exercised set men style available under the provisions of 3 CPR 11-38(a) in one with fowers, may a right be timely field  If NO period for regly is specified above, the mailing adaption will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failute to apply which has best or denied period for right, a specified above, the mailing date of this communication. Failute to apply which has best or denied period for right, and the mailing date of this communication, even if timely field, may reduce any interest patient the superiod will purply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failute to applicate the superiod size of SIX	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - sher SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication.  - if No pard for reply a specified above, the maximum statutory period will spay and will excise X(6) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - if No pard for reply a specified above, the maximum statutory period will spay and will excise X(6) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - if No pard for reply aspecified above, the maximum statutory period will spay and will excise X(6) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - if No pard for reply aspecified above, the maximum statutory period will spay and will excise X(6) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - if No pard for reply aspecified above, the maximum statutory period will spay and will excise X(6) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - if No pard from the mailing date of this communication.  - if No pard from the mailing date of this communication.  - if No pard from the mailing date of this communication.  - if No pard from the mailing date of this communication.  - if No pard from the mailing date of this communication.  - if No pard from the mailing date of this communication.  - if No pard from the mailing date of this communication.  - if No pard from the mailing date of this communication.  - if No pard from the natural statutory and the maximum statutory period the part of the from the No.  - if No pard from the natural statutory and the natural statutory and the statutory and the natural statutory and the natural statutory.  - if No pard from the natural statutory and the natural statutory and the natural statutory and the natural statutory.  - if No pard from the natural statutory and the natural statutory and the natural statutory.  - if No pard from the natural statutory and the natural statutory and the natural statutory and the na		William H. Wood	2193
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Subtended to the remarky be writing to the transport of trans		ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Entensions of time may be available under the provides of 3°C FR 1.1360.) In one event, however, may a reply be limited into the master of the communication of 10°C FR 1.1360.) In one vent, however, may a reply be limited into the communication.  Failuble to right within the set or excluded path of the communication of the provided of the communication of the provided provides the provided by the become ABMONDED (38 U.S. C. § 133). Any reply received by the Cflice later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if finely filed, may reduce any seemed patient time adjustment. Set 3° CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0°C November 2005.  2a) ☑ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1-3.5 and 8-10 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are a subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □ .  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the Inte	• •		
1)   Responsive to communication(s) filed on <i>QT November 2005</i> .   2a)   This action is FINAL.   2b)   This action is non-final.   3)   Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [ - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b ☐ This action is non-final.  3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4 ☐ Claim(s) 1-3.5 and 8-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a), Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b ☐ This action is non-final.  3 ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4 ☐ Claim(s) 1-3.5 and 8-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5 ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a), Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 /	November 2005.	
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)		<del>-</del>	
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters	, prosecution as to the merits is
4) Claim(s) 1-3.5 and 8-10 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Authority Notice of References Cited (PTO-1449 or PTO/58/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-3,5 and 8-10 is/are pending in the	application.	
5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of Terfstepesor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 20 ☐ Notice of Terfstepesor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 21 ☐ Notice of Terfstepesor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 22 ☐ Notice of Terfstepesor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 23 ☐ Notice of Terfstepesor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 25 ☐ Notice of Terfstepesor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 26 ☐ Notice of Terfstepesor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 27 ☐ Notice of Terfstepesor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 28 ☐ Notice of Terfstepesor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 29 ☐ Notice of Terfstepesor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 20 ☐ Notice of Terfstepesor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 20 ☐ Notice of T		• •	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to setriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of Portstsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5 and 8-10</u> is/are rejected.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Corjeis of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)    Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Notice of Internation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-152)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  10 Notice of Partisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  21 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  22 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  10 Notice of Partisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  21 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  22 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  10 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  21 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  22 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  23 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	_		the Examiner.
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  10 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·	•	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is	s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Of	ffice Action or form PTO-152.
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		•	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Interview Summary (PTO-413)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)    Output	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in Appli	ication No
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the price</li></ol>	ority documents have been rec	eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Attachment(s)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		` ''	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not rec	eived.
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			ė
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	AMA-akan and N		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		<b></b>	(DTO 440)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	3) 🔲 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	) 5) Notice of Inform	

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-3, 5 and 8-10 are pending and have been examined.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Boden** et al. (USPN 5,930,512) in view of **Entner** et al. (USPN 5,745,901).

#### Claim 1

**Boden** disclosed a method for creating and deploying a process-driven information System *(column 2, lines 50-65)*, said method comprising the following steps:

• (a) creating a <u>plurality of process models each including</u> a plurality of elements in a process driven information system (column 4, lines 55-64), said plurality of elements representing work carried out by personnel in an organization (column 4, lines 55-64; column 8, lines 30-64), said <u>plurality of process</u> models forming a component of a hierarchical arrangement of cross referenced processes (definition of workflow; i.e. the FlowMark product; column 12, lines 26-27; further column 5, lines 38-49);

Application/Control Number: 09/506,189

Art Unit: 2193

Page 3

- (b) using <u>said plurality of process</u> models to identify requirements for software application and information support components for said processes (column 4, lines 55-64; column 10, lines 28-29; and column 12, lines 45-49; FlowMark by IBM);
- (c) finding or creating one or more software application and information support components as support for said processes (column 12, lines 45-49;
   FlowMark by IBM);
- (d) deploying the process-driven information system with said software
  application and information support components accessible from designated
  elements in said processes (column 2, lines 50-65; column 13, lines 26-35;
  activities are elements), each element giving access to an application or
  information support component (column 12, lines 23-28); and
- (e) selection by a user of one of a plurality of process models to display said selected process model on a screen to access said software application and information support components to direct the operation of said software application and information support components (column 13, lines 26-35; Figures 11-20), and wherein said plurality of elements of said process model are provided in a tool which uniquely identifies each of said plurality of elements (column 12, lines 19-34; FlowMark by IBM) and which maps each of said plurality of elements to an application and information in the form of one or more software components (column 12, lines 19-34; column 12, lines 45-49) so as to allow user access from the process model displayed on the

screen to the one or more software components (column 13, line 40 to column 14, line 7; column 13, lines 59-64; column 23, line 55 to column 24, line 8).

• said models accessed by a web browser and which links the model elements in the browser by uniquely identifying each element and corresponding web page and for each process model which is selected for display and interaction via the display screen a plurality of elements are displayed on the display (column 13, line 40 to column 14, line 16)

Boden did not explicitly state and wherein the user accessing a particular software and information support component is achieved by the user interacting with the display screen to select, via a user selection tool, one of the plurality of model process elements graphically displayed on the display screen, whereupon the software application and information support component linked to the selected model process element is generated on the display screen for use by the user. Entner demonstrated that it was known at the time of invention to generate graphical elements by graphically selecting them (column 5, lines 13-17, 31-33 and 35-40; figure 3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to implement the graphical work flow system of Boden with graphical model interaction such that an element is generated from the model as found in Entner's teaching. This implementation would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to

increase the ease of use by a user (**Entner**: column 3, lines 4-9 and column 7, lines 52-56).

## Claim 2

**Boden** disclosed a method according to claim 1 wherein said process model is part of a set of general purpose graphical business models (column 8, lines 5-9).

#### Claim 3

**Boden** disclosed a method according to claim 2 wherein said process model is accessible via a web browser (column 2, lines 51-57).

## Claim 5

**Boden** disclosed a method according to claim 1 wherein said one or more software application and information support components are in the form of arbitrary alternative web pages and web-based resources (column 2, lines 58-65; column 24, line 60 to column 25, line 27; column 25, lines 44-54).

## Claim 8

**Boden** did not explicitly state a method according to claim 1 wherein said process model is used to educate users within an organization as to how the organization processes functions. **Entner** demonstrated that it was known at the time of invention to make use of workflow models for personnel training (column 3, lines 4-16). It would

have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to implement the natural workflow graphics/models of **Boden** as educational tools as discussed by **Entner**. This implementation would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to provide easy to understand material (graphics) to users and to make as much use of one tool as possible.

## Claim 9

**Boden** disclosed a method according to claim 1 wherein said one or more software application and information support components are in the form of arbitrary alternative web pages or web-based resources (column 2, lines 58-65; column 25, lines 44-54).

## <u>Claim 10</u>

The limitation of claim 10 are substantially the same as the limitation of claim 1 and as such are rejected in the same manner. A periodic review and re-publish cycle is disclosed by **Entner** column 5, lines 9-29 (the user interacts and changes the workflow periodically).

# Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed 07 November 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues: **Boden** does not disclose the plurality of elements of a process model and **Entner** does not disclose selecting one of the plurality of model process elements graphically displayed. These arguments are not found to be

persuasive. First, **Boden** clearly indicates a plurality of elements of a process model (column 4, lines 55-63). **Boden** also discloses a plurality of process models (figure 4). **Entner** states, "... the viewer tool which provides a way for users to view and take actions on the object" (column 5, lines 13-17) and then later states, "User processing of objects ..." (column 5, line 31). It is clear, from these statements at least, that **Entner** is selecting and processing a variety of elements. Furthermore, the references are combined in a properly motivated combination, which means the plurality of displayed elements of **Boden** are processed via selection found in **Entner**. All of Applicant's concerns having been addressed, the rejections are maintained as above indicated.

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/506,189

Art Unit: 2193

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

## Correspondence Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William H. Wood whose telephone number is (571)-272-3736. The examiner can normally be reached 9:00am - 5:30pm Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kakali Chaki can be reached on (571)-272-3719. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571)273-8300 for regular communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-3900.

February 16, 2006

KAKALI CHAKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 8